Louisiana Distribution of Income – Lorenz Curve and Gini Coefficient (as measured by Federal Adjusted Gross Income, State Tax Year 2018)

An accompanying graph depicts the distribution of income in the state, as measured by federal adjusted gross income for tax year 2018. Income distribution is depicted here through a Lorenz Curve¹, a common tool for picturing the overall observed distribution of income relative to a theoretical absolute of perfectly equal income distribution. In this type of depiction, the diagonal represents absolute or perfectly equal income distribution. All along the diagonal the cumulative percentage of tax returns² (measured along the horizontal axis) is exactly the same as the cumulative percentage of income³ (measured along the vertical axis). The curved line is the observed cumulative distribution of income reflected in Louisiana tax returns for the 2018 tax year. All along a curved line that lies below the diagonal the cumulative percentage of income will be less than the cumulative percentage of tax returns. For example, 25% of returns would reflect 25% of the income if income were equally distributed (along the diagonal). However, we actually observe that the lowest 25% of the returns reflect only about 4% of the income⁴ (along the curve). In the graph, this observed inequality of income distribution is described at various points along the curved line from the perspective of the bottom cumulative percentage of returns and the corresponding highest cumulative percentage of returns.

A similar graph is provided for the United States as a whole; for tax year 2017, the latest data available as of this writing. While difficult to see visually, the U.S. graph depicts a somewhat more unequal distribution of income than in Louisiana (federal adjusted gross income or tax return income). An overall comparison of the two distributions can be readily attained by calculating the Gini coefficient⁵ for each; the ratio of the area between the diagonal and observed curved line to the entire triangle area beneath the diagonal. The Gini coefficient summarizes the entire Lorenz Curve / income distribution into a single value. In effect, the graphs show that observed inequality of income distribution in the state is 56.17% of perfect income inequality, while the U.S. graph shows that observed inequality of income distribution in the nation is 60.04% of perfect income inequality⁶. Based on these depictions and calculations, Louisiana is similar to the nation as a whole in its distribution of income; having a 2018 Gini coefficient value some 93.6% of the 2017 U.S. Gini value.

A significant qualification to this analysis should be made here. The true degree of income inequality in the state and the nation is actually less than indicated here. Broader concepts of income and households used by specialists in the field result in actual Louisiana and U.S. level Gini coefficient estimates that are significantly lower than those calculated here; 0.494 for Louisiana in both 2017 and 2018, and 0.482 and 0.485 for the U.S. in those same years, respectively⁷. Also noteworthy is the fact that in the Census analysis the Louisiana Gini coefficient is higher than the U.S. coefficient (0.494 vs 0.482 and 0.485), implying greater income inequality in the state that in the nation as a whole, while the opposite result occurs when considering the narrower tax data concepts. In that analysis, the Louisiana Gini at 0.5617 is less than the U.S. Gini at 0.6004, implying less income inequality in the state than in the nation as a whole. While the different concepts of income and households utilized can generate opposing results with respect to the

absolute positions of more or less income inequality, both analyses generate state level results fairly close to national level results, although the Census analysis points out that the Louisiana Gini is statistically different from the U.S. Gini. While the tax data analysis generates results somewhat farther apart than the Census analysis⁸, both analyses point out that Louisiana is largely similar to the nation as a whole with respect to overall income inequality. A third graph is included that depicts both curves together, highlighting this similarity.

-

¹ Developed by the American economist Max Otto Lorenz in 1905.

² Each tax return can be a proxy for a household, and the number of resident tax returns reflected in this analysis (1,739,470) is roughly the same as the total number of households in the state estimated by the U.S. Census Bureau by an annually updated five-year average for 2014-2018 of 1,736,021.

³ The income concept employed here for both the state and the U.S. is federal adjusted gross income (FAGI). This concept is narrower than what would be employed by specialists in income distribution, but is readily available from the Legislative Fiscal Office state personal income tax simulation model, and the federal Internal Revenue Service.

⁴ The farther out to the right the curved line occurs the more unequal is the distribution of income. Perfectly unequal income distribution would be depicted by an observed line running straight along the bottom horizontal axis and straight up the right vertical axis. Only one tax return would have all the FAGI.

⁵ Developed by the Italian statistician Corrado Gini in 1912.

⁶ The farther out to the right the curved line occurs the closer to 1.0 is the Gini coefficient; the more the area between the diagonal and the observed curved line becomes the entire triangle area under the diagonal; the more the observed line becomes a straight line along the bottom axis and up the right axis; the more the observed distribution approaches perfect income inequality.


⁷ See a brief report, "Household Income 2017, American Community Survey Briefs, U.S. Census Bureau, September 2018 at https://www.census.gov/library/publications/2018/acs/acsbr17-01.html.

⁸ The tax data analysis generates a Louisiana Gini coefficient that differs from the U.S. level Gini coefficient by about 6.4%, while the Census analysis difference is about 1.8% to 2.4%. In addition, the tax data analysis is a more casual analysis working with limited sets of data of different sizes. The Gini coefficient levels and differences generated by the utilized Louisiana and U.S. level tax data contains considerably fewer data observations than the Census analysis, and the Louisiana tax data has substantially more data observations within it than does the utilized U.S. level tax data.

Approximate distribution benchmarks: LA Distribution of Income a) bottom 25% of returns w/ 4% of income; top 75% of returns w/ 96% of income Lorenz Curve b) bottom 50% of returns w/ 14% of income; top 50% of returns w/ 86% of income (as measured by FAGI, Tax Year 2018) c) bottom 75% of returns w/35% of income; top 25% of returns w/65% of income d) bottom 90% of returns w/ 58% of income; top 10% of returns w/ 42% of income e) bottom 95% of returns w/ 70% of income; top 5% of returns w/ 30% of income LA Gini coefficient = .5617 (93.6% of U.S. 2017) 100% ¬f) bottom 99% of returns w/ 85% of income; top 1% of returns w/ 15% of income 95% 90% 85% 80% 75% 70% (low income to high income) 65% Line of Absolute Equality (diagonal) 60% Cumu % Income 55% 50% 45% 40% 35% 30% 25% 20% 15% 10% Observed Distribution (curve) 5% 0% 15% 20% 25% 30% 35% 40% 45% 50% 55% 60% 65% 70% 75% 80% 85% 90% 95% 100%

Cumu % Returns (low income to high income)


0%



Cumu % Returns (low income to high income)

U.S. & LA Distribution of Income

Lorenz Curves as measured by FAGI Tax Year 2017 U.S. Tax Year 2018 LA US Estimated Gini coefficient = .6004 LA Estimated Gini coefficient = .5617 (LA 93.6% of U.S.)



Cumu % Returns (low income to high income)